## Two Models for a Revised Faculty Senate Structure

## Shared criteria for proposed models for Faculty Senate structure:

1) Integrate the FTNTT faculty into the Faculty Senate
2) Integrate the College of Professional Studies (CPS) into the Faculty Senate
3) Maintain the proportional election of senators from the Colleges
4) Maintain the size but alter the composition of the Faculty Senate:

33 elected faculty members, the Provost, and six administrators who are members of the full-time faculty appointed by the President or his/her designee. The thirty-three faculty members are elected by and from the full-time faculty of the degree-granting Colleges.

## Differential criterion for the proposed two models (Model A and Model B) for Faculty Senate structure: which route to integration of FTNTT is used

Two integration routes formed the basis for the top five ranked models of the Senate Ad hoc Committee to Recommend Changes to the Faculty Senate Bylaws Regarding Composition of the Senate and Its Committees. One of these is utilized in Model A and the other is utilized in Model B.

Model A: Any FT faculty within a College can be elected to the Senate (that is, there are no designated seats, and each college determines its balance of T/TT and FTNTT Senators).

Model B: Senators for each of the Colleges are elected in proportion to the ratio of T/TT to FTNTT faculty in the College.
Shown below are the distributions of Senate seats resulting from each model. In both Model A and Model B, calculations were made with 1443 as the total number of FT faculty and $1443 / 33=43.73$ faculty per Senate seat. This set of faculty data was used throughout the report from the Senate Ad hoc Committee to Recommend Changes to the Faculty Senate Bylaws Regarding Composition of the Senate and Its Committees, allowing ready comparison of Model A and Model B with the models provided in the report.

Model A: 33 Elected Senate Seats Proportionately Allotted to Total Full-Time Faculty Within Each College

| College |  |  |  | Senate Seats per College |  | \# College Seats in 2017/18 Senate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T/TT Faculty | FTNTT faculty | Total FT Faculty | Faculty/43.73 | Rounded |  |
| BCHS | 73 | 121 | 194 | 4.44 | 5 | 3 |
| CAMD | 74 | 72 | 146 | 3.39 | 3 | 3 |
| CCIS | 48 | 45 | 93 | 2.13 | 2 | 2 |
| COE | 155 | 72 | 227 | 5.19 | 5 | 6 |
| CPS | 1 | 79 | 80 | 1.83 | 2 | 0 |
| COS | 148 | 75 | 223 | 5.1 | 5 | 6 |
| CSSH | 148 | 97 | 245 | 5.6 | 6 | 6 |
| DMSB | 108 | 78 | 186 | 4.25 | 4 | 3 |
| SOL | 30 | 19 | 49 | 1.12 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 785 | 658 | 1443 |  | 33 | 30 |

Model B: 33 Elected Senate Seats Proportionately Allotted to T/TT and FTNTT Faculty Within Each College

| College | T/TT <br> Faculty | T/TT Senate Seats |  | FTNTT <br> Faculty | FTNTT Senate Seats |  | Total Senate Seats per College | \# College Seats in 2017/18 Senate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Faculty/43.73 | Rounded |  | Faculty/43.73 | Rounded |  |  |
| BCHS | 73 | 1.67 | 2 | 121 | 2.77 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| CAMD | 74 | 1.69 | 2 | 72 | 1.65 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| CCIS | 48 | 1.1 | 1 | 45 | 1.03 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| COE | 155 | 3.54 | 3 | 72 | 1.65 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| CPS | 1 |  |  | 79 | 1.81 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| COS | 148 | 3.38 | 3 | 75 | 1.72 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| CSSH | 148 | 3.38 | 3 | 97 | 2.22 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| DMSB | 108 | 2.47 | 2 | 78 | 1.78 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| SOL | 30 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 785 |  | 16 | 658 |  | 16 | 33 | 30 |

In Model B, the SOL would determine how to allot its one Senate seat among T/TT and FTNTT faculty.

